

Ground-Penetrating Radar for Archaeology

Geophysical Methods for Archaeology

Series Editors:

Lawrence B. Conyers, University of Denver,

Kenneth L. Kvamme, University of Arkansas

The Geophysical Methods for Archaeology series aims to introduce field archaeologists and their students to the theory and methods associated with near-surface geophysical data collection techniques. Each book in this series will describe one of these commonly used noninvasive techniques, its applications, and its importance to archaeological practice for the non-specialist.

Volume 1: *Ground-Penetrating Radar for Archaeology*, Lawrence B. Conyers

Ground-Penetrating Radar for Archaeology

LAWRENCE B. CONYERS



A Division of
ROWMAN & LITTLEFIELD PUBLISHERS, INC.
Walnut Creek • Lanham • New York • Toronto • Oxford



A division of Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc.
1630 North Main Street, #367
Walnut Creek, California 94596
www.altamirapress.com

Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc.
A wholly owned subsidiary of The Rowman & Littlefield Publishing Group, Inc.
4501 Forbes Boulevard, Suite 200
Lanham, MD 20706

PO Box 317
Oxford
OX2 9RU, UK

Copyright © 2004 by AltaMira Press

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Information Available

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Conyers, Lawrence B.

Ground-penetrating radar for archaeology / Lawrence B. Conyers.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-7591-0772-6 (hardcover : alk. paper) — ISBN 0-7591-0773-4 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. Geophysics in archaeology. 2. Ground penetrating radar. I. Title.

CC79.G46C663 2004
930.1'01'55—dc22

2004009506

Printed in the United States of America

∞TM The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of American National Standard for Information Sciences—Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992.

Contents

<i>List of Illustrations</i>	ix
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xiii
1 Archaeological Geophysics	1
Geophysical Methods for Archaeological Mapping	3
History of Geophysical Surveys in Archaeology	4
Archaeological Geophysics Today and the Goals of This Book	6
2 Introduction to Ground-Penetrating Radar	11
History of GPR in Archaeology	16
3 GPR Theory and Practice	23
Radar Energy Generation and Propagation	23
Generation and Recording of GPR Waves	26
Acquisition Procedures	29
Collection of Transects in Grids	35
Data Recording	38
Antenna Variables	39
Radar Propagation and Reflection in the Ground	46
<i>Measurements of Radar Propagation and Reflection</i> ■ <i>Dispersion</i> <i>and Attenuation of Radar Energy in the Ground</i> ■ <i>Reflection Types</i> ■ <i>Resolution of Subsurface Features</i>	

Radar Propagation and Reflection Complications	68
<i>Ground Coupling ■ Background Noise ■ Focusing and Scattering Effects ■ The Near-Field Effect ■ Air Waves and Near-Surface Obstructions</i>	
4 Ground-Penetrating Radar Equipment and Acquisition Software	81
GPR Systems	81
Data Acquisition Software: Setup Parameters	84
<i>Header Information ■ Time Window ■ Samples per Reflection Trace ■ Trace Stacking ■ Transmission Rate ■ Time Zero Position ■ Range Gains ■ Vertical Filters</i>	
5 Velocity Analysis	99
Reflected Wave Methods	102
Direct-Wave Methods	105
<i>CMP and WARR Tests ■ Transillumination Tests</i>	
Laboratory Measurements of RDP	114
Analysis of Point Source Reflection Hyperbolas	116
Velocity Analyses Conclusions	117
6 Postacquisition Data Processing	119
Scale Correction and the Creation of Reflection Profiles	120
Remove Horizontal Banding	123
Removal of High-Frequency Noise	126
Removal of Multiple Reflections	127
Migration	128
Increase the Visibility of Subtle Reflections	131
Data Processing Conclusions	132
7 Interpretation of GPR Data	133
Synthetic GPR Models	134
<i>Creating a Synthetic Computer Model ■ Synthetic Modeling Applications ■ Synthetic Models Compared to GPR Profiles</i>	
Interpreting and Mapping Many GPR Reflection Profiles in a Grid	146
<i>Example of Buried Landscape Reconstruction from Interpreting Reflection Profiles</i>	
Amplitude Analysis in Slice Maps	150
<i>Amplitude Slice Maps on Level Ground ■ Amplitude Slices on Uneven Ground ■ Subtle Feature Discovery with Amplitude</i>	

<i>Mapping ■ Amplitude Maps to Search for Vertical Features and Graves ■ Production of Rendered Images</i>	
8 Conclusion	165
<i>References</i>	175
<i>Index</i>	193
<i>About the Author</i>	000

List of Illustrations

FIGURES

Figure 2.1.	A GPR Reflection Profile	12
Figure 2.2.	GPR Equipment	14
Figure 3.1.	Electromagnetic Wave Propagation	24
Figure 3.2.	The GPR Frequency Distribution	25
Figure 3.3.	The Generation of a Waveform	26
Figure 3.4.	GPR Antennas Collecting Data	27
Figure 3.5.	An Antenna Survey Wheel	29
Figure 3.6.	A Reflection Trace	31
Figure 3.7.	A One-Person GPR System	32
Figure 3.8.	Topographically Corrected Reflection Profile	34
Figure 3.9.	Antenna Frequency Distribution	41
Figure 3.10.	Radar Pulses	42
Figure 3.11.	Low-Frequency Antennas	43
Figure 3.12.	High-Frequency Antennas	44
Figure 3.13.	Graph of the Relative Dielectric Permittivity–Velocity Relationship	47
Figure 3.14.	Reflection Profile in Wet Clay	52
Figure 3.15.	Point Source Hyperbolas	55
Figure 3.16.	Many Small Hyperbolas	57
Figure 3.17.	Generation of a Reflection Hyperbola	59
Figure 3.18.	Conical Spreading of Radar Energy in the Ground	63

Figure 3.19.	Radiation Footprint Differences with Differing Ground RDP	64
Figure 3.20.	Resolution of Interfaces	66
Figure 3.21.	Resolution of Stratigraphy as a Function of Frequency	67
Figure 3.22.	Energy Focusing with Depth	69
Figure 3.23.	Coupling Changes Due to Differences in Surface Materials	70
Figure 3.24.	Coupling Changes Producing Anomalous Reflections	71
Figure 3.25.	Extreme Electromagnetic Noise in a Reflection Profile	73
Figure 3.26.	Energy Scattering and Focusing	75
Figure 3.27.	Scattering and Focusing on a Horizontal Reflection Surface	76
Figure 3.28.	Buried Agricultural Field That Causes Focusing and Scattering	76
Figure 3.29.	Air Waves	78
Figure 3.30.	Near-Surface Metal Interference	79
Figure 4.1.	Range Gaining	92
Figure 4.2.	Trace Clipping	94
Figure 4.3.	Frequency Filtering	97
Figure 5.1.	Stratigraphy Identification for Velocity Determination	102
Figure 5.2.	Travel Paths for a CMP Test	106
Figure 5.3.	Conducting a CMP Test	107
Figure 5.4.	A CMP Test	108
Figure 5.5.	Conducting a Transillumination Test	111
Figure 5.6.	Transillumination Test Results	113
Figure 5.7.	Laboratory Tests of RDP	115
Figure 5.8.	Hyperbola-Fitting Velocity Tests	117
Figure 6.1.	Wiggle Trace and Gray-Scale Reflection Profiles	121
Figure 6.2.	Raw Reflection Profile and Adjusted Profile	122
Figure 6.3.	Background Removal Processing	124
Figure 6.4.	Multiple Reflections	127
Figure 6.5.	Reflection Migration	130
Figure 7.1.	Possible Ray Paths in Stratified Material	137
Figure 7.2.	A V-Trench Synthetic Model	139
Figure 7.3.	A V-Trench Discovered in a Reflection Profile	141
Figure 7.4.	A Three-Layer Velocity Model	142

Figure 7.5.	Vertical Distortion Due to Near-Surface Velocity Differences	143
Figure 7.6.	A Pit House Floor with Possible Subfloor Feature	144
Figure 7.7.	Synthetic Model of a Pit House Floor	145
Figure 7.8.	Hand Mapping of Reflection Profiles in a Grid	148
Figure 7.9.	Slicing Choices in Topographically Complex Areas	157
Figure 7.10.	Reflection Profile with No Distinctive Reflections	158
Figure 7.11.	Stratigraphic Truncation in a Cemetery	161
Figure 7.12.	Graves with Distinct Reflection Hyperbolas	162
Figure 7.13.	Three-Dimensional Rendered Surface	164

TABLES

Table 3.1.	Typical Relative Dielectric Permittivities (RDPs) of Common Geological Materials	48
Table 3.2.	Wavelength of Radar Waves in Media of a Given RDP and Frequency	61
Table 4.1.	Depth in Meters to a Reflector through Media of a Given Relative Dielectric Permittivity	86
Table 6.1.	Common Postacquisition Processing Objectives and Methods	120
Table 8.1.	Feasibility of Using GPR to Discover and Map Some Buried Archaeological Features and Stratigraphy	169

PLATES

Plate 1.	Three-Dimensional View of a Buried Living Surface	000
Plate 2.	Amplitude Slice Maps	000
Plate 3.	Slices Crossing Subsurface Bedding Planes	000
Plate 4.	Anomalies Created by Slices Crossing Bedding Planes	000
Plate 5.	Interpolation Differences in Slicing	000
Plate 6.	Amplitude Slices on Horizontal Ground	000
Plate 7.	Amplitude Slices on a Mound	000
Plate 8.	Amplitude Slices Illustrating Subtle Ground Features	000
Plate 9.	Amplitude Slice Maps of a Very Subtle Floor Feature	000
Plate 10.	Grave Amplitude Slice Map	000

Acknowledgments

Archaeological geophysics is not the kind of research one can do alone. Every project I have worked on, whether field research, data processing, or software development, has involved someone in a crucial capacity to whom I owe a great deal. My great thanks go to Jeffrey Lucius at the U.S. Geological Survey in Denver, Colorado, who first held my hand and taught me the basics of GPR when I was trying to get my feet on the ground in near-surface geophysics many years ago. He has always been there to answer questions about electromagnetic theory, help with data processing, and recently assist in the writing of new computer programs for GPR analysis. A more patient and giving colleague would be hard to find in any scientific endeavor. Dean Goodman, with whom I coauthored the first GPR book for archaeology, *Ground-Penetrating Radar: An Introduction for Archaeologists*, has also been a constant inspiration. He consistently amazes me with his grasp of the complexities of GPR and especially with his ability to write computer code for processing reflection data.

My geophysical and archaeological colleagues who continue to believe in geophysics through both our successes and failures are the intellectual capital on which the future of archaeological geophysics will be built. Great thanks go to the following people who have helped, collaborated with, encouraged, and advised me over the years: John Hildebrand, Sean Wiggins, Tom Carr, Payson Sheets, Ken Kvamme, Cathy Cameron, John Isaacson, Mike Hargrave, Steve DeVore, Mike Powers, Jay Johnson, Clark Davenport, Doug Wilson, Leigh-Ann Bedal, David

Hurst Thomas, Karyn deDufour, Terry Ferguson, Mark Gilberg, Jeffrey Quilter, Jon Kent, Jim Thompson, and Yasushi Nishimura.

Little research in an academic setting could be accomplished without students, who not only help in data collection and join the intellectual excitement of discovery, but perform much of the everyday data processing and map making that is such a time-consuming but important part of this research. They are more than students but colleagues, always asking the pertinent questions and posing the important problems that must be answered. It is often they who make many of the cumulative breakthroughs that allow the science of archaeological geophysics to progress. Many thanks go to my students, many of whom have moved on to careers in geophysical archaeology, including Eileen Ernenwein, Derek Hamilton, Michael Grealy, Tiffany Osburn, Kimberley Henderson, Tiffany Tchakirides, Michele Koons, and Jennie Sturm.

Funding for a good deal of the field and laboratory research presented in this book was provided by the National Center for Preservation Technology and Training, National Geographic Society, the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program of the U.S. Department of Defense, Environmental Protection Agency and Department of Energy, the Colorado Historical Society, and the U.S. National Park Service. The private cultural research management firms, individuals, corporations, and other organizations that sometimes actually pay good money to us for doing GPR on their projects have also been an important funding mechanism, and many thanks go to them for continuing to believe in and support geophysical archaeology.